
WHO CARES ?
REBUILDING CARE IN A POST PANDEMIC WORLD
(Brazil, Canada, Colombia, France, United States and United Kingdom)

Summary

Care work gained more visibility during the pandemic crisis, yet, little has been done to improve care infrastructures and the conditions of care workers. Therefore, the project aimed to assess the impact of the crisis on care provision, in order to rebuild a more resilient and caring society in the post-pandemic. Main results have been already published in an edited book *Care and Pandemics. A transnational perspective* (Brill, 2024), and a second one is on preparation with the preliminary title *Caring beyond borders* (Policy Press, forthcoming); articles, working papers, and videos have also circulated and are available in the project website <https://cuidado.cebrap.org.br/>.

This research project is structured along 4 axes: the needs and modalities of care provision, labour conditions and rights of care workers, public policies on rebuilding social infrastructure, community-based strategies of care provision.

As for Axis 1, we have contributed to a better understanding of the extent and modalities of care work. In fact, despite the impressive figures on people mobilized in the socio-economy of care, which fostered the growing interest of academic literature on the subject, important challenges remained mobilizing our teams towards analytical goals such as: (i) measuring the scope of care occupations (and not only focusing on it through the lens of economic activity sectors), (ii) documenting internal heterogeneity in paid care, (iii) comparing inequality patterns between countries, and avoiding reductionisms that subsumes singularities in a simplistic differentiation between the so-called “Global South” and “Global North”. The novelty of this analysis comes from a methodology (on **the halo of care**) that makes national employment surveys comparable, without losing sight of their care regimes singularities. A first systematic test of this approach has been conducted by a transnational group which analyzed the cases of Brazil, Colombia and France.

Another analytical advance in this axis relates to the explorations on the **gray market of home care workers**. To explore the relevance and characteristics of this market the US team conducted in the summer of 2024 a survey of U.S. home care regions, recruiting respondents through Facebook and Instagram video advertisements. The survey yielded about 5000 valid responses in four regions: New York City, the Los Angeles area, selected urban areas in the Southeastern region of the country and selected urban areas in the Southwest. A preliminary paper reported first results, addressing relevant issues as the pay rates, working hours and conditions, job tenure, job satisfaction and other variables, and how these vary between the “gray market” and agency-employed home care workers, as well as by region.

As for Axis 2, we developed an innovative methodology, combining qualitative and quantitative approaches, and incorporating strategies that ensure the active participation of care workers in the design, implementation and data analysis. In 2023, we conducted **21 focus groups with 179 workers in 5 countries** (Brazil, Colombia, France,

the UK and the US). The results were presented to the participants in 2024 through interactive workshops and served as a basis for the development and piloting of the **international survey**.

This survey aims at comparing care workers' rights, working and health conditions during and post-pandemic, and assess into what extent the pandemic has changed their situation. The survey is still ongoing, however, preliminary results (survey + focus groups) already indicate that, despite certain improvements in indicators such as salary, employment and formal access to rights, precariousness continues to mark the daily reality of care workers. We can highlight increased workloads without corresponding pay rise, greater difficulties in finding a job after the pandemic, high levels of indebtedness, persistent work-related health problems and daily experiences of violence, racism and coercion. Thus, even though the pandemic did worsen care workers' conditions, they still face many forms of exploitation beyond the crisis period.

Qualitative in-depth studies have also been conducted, to compare institutional and household care work in France and Brazil, exploring the experiences and perceptions that emerged during the pandemics.

Another analytical goal on this axis relates to the study of new employment relations and working conditions put into place in care labor market by digital intermediaries as **care platforms**. Within a very heterogeneous set of intermediaries, digital platforms for paid domestic work have aroused particular interest, given that they are presented as a disruptive phenomenon with great potential for expansion. We assume that far from being neutral actors in the encounter between supply and demand, domestic and care work platforms organize through their design and infrastructure the criteria for selecting worker's profiles by formatting the information available on their workers. In that sense, intermediation becomes a real pre-selection process. New fieldwork has been conducted in France, Brazil and Colombia and the transnational team has already produced relevant conceptual and methodological achievements on firm strategies and workers perceptions, as well as on new forms of worker's agency in this segment of care labor market. Seven different care platforms (1 in Colombia 1 in France and 5 in Brazil) have been studied, and a comparative paper on Brazil and France has analyzed the role of online labor market intermediaries in sorting the workforce and dealing with inequalities in access to employment.

As for Axis 3, we have been exploring ways to assess **policies and rights of care workers** in Europe and the Americas, assuming the high value of transregional and comparative approaches. As for French and European surveys they are revealing of a care (work) crisis: labor shortages, poor working and employment conditions as well as high exposure to workplace psychosocial risks. This crisis, which pre-existed the pandemic, has become particularly visible since then, and is still unresolved. Therefore, the research that has been conducted by French colleagues questions the role of public policies and regulations of care work: a mix of underinvestment in public services, fragmented care work regulations, exemptions from the labor code for domestic workers. Such a mix tends to make the exit from the care crisis more difficult. Our research examines the fragmentation of public policies shaping the care economy and segmenting the female-dominated care employment.

As for the South American context, an exemplary study has applied the Global Care Policy Index (GCPI) methodology, developed by colleagues from NYU, to better understand the Brazilian case, and also to explore the potential of this tool for analyzing the level of state protection granted to care workers prior to the pandemic. Besides, an in-depth case study has also been conducted on the recent experience of Colombia, which has concluded with the public policy agenda-setting and formulation of the National Care System.

Finally, the Canadian team has been exploring how institutional action on care work also can lead us to question the mistakes, negligence, and moments of inattention that may occur. Their analysis built upon 15 interviews conducted in 2024 with workers from the Youth Protection Directorate (DPJ), which in Québec is the State body responsible for protecting uncared children. The team analyzes (un)care within “non-facilitating” contexts and the possible impacts both for those who provide care and for those who are (un)cared for.

As for Axis 4, results approach two relevant - although still underexplored - topics. The first one, conducted by members of the Brazilian team is the field of the so-called “**queer care**”, focuses on the needs, practices, and challenges on providing care for LGBTQIA+ as a conceptual frontier where the project is producing substantial and innovative results. The second one is the subject of **collective care**, where Brazil, Colombia and France have been doing relevant intellectual investment. The Brazilian team has been reflecting on the experiences put into place under the critical context of Covid-19 crisis. The study relies on empirical evidence, encompassing 1,000 cases of solidarity campaigns conducted in Brazil during the pandemic. Understanding the ecosystem that supports the campaigns and examining its configuration will offer valuable perspectives to the field of care studies, particularly concerning countries characterized by high levels of poverty and inequality. The Colombian team has been analyzing the experience of the “maroon guards”, civil authorities of community self-management in Afro-descendant Colombian territories, which are preserved especially in rural areas, in accordance with their own traditions and systems of government. The team analyzes the role of these organizations during the pandemic in the Southwest of Colombia, facing not only the health emergency, but also the socioeconomic crisis and violence derived from the expansion of illicit crops and groups outside the law in the region. They conclude that community care for life occurs through the strengthening of the community fabric, food security and the development of mechanisms to protect the lives of leaders. Finally, the French team has been focusing on the social logics of associations run by and/or for disabled women, which have emerged to help meet their unmet social needs. Stemming from highly territorialized local solidarities, these associations offer a variety of services to act against social and political injustices (access to housing, social rights, combating domestic violence, etc.) and promote participation in cultural and sporting activities (yoga workshops, gentle gymnastics, reading club, socio-aesthetics sessions). The team has been exploring their ability to contribute to forms of community care, while considering the multiple difficulties they face.

Three International colloquium (2023/Paris, 2024/Montreal, 2025/Sao Paulo) has shared in total almost 70 new papers (around 23 in each meeting), which are the departure point for the 2 books already prepared

- *Care and Pandemics. A transnational perspective.* Eds.: Nadya Araujo Guimarães, Heidi Gottfried, Helena Hirata and Javier Pineda, Brill Ed. (Leiden: Holanda), 2024, Serie “Studies in Critical Social Sciences”
- *Caring beyond Borders: Assessing home care policies and workers’ rights in the global North and South.* Eds.: Heidi Gottfried, Eileen Boris and Nadya Araujo Guimarães, Brill Ed. (Leiden: Holanda), forthcoming 2026

PROJECT TITLE:

WHO CARES? REBUILDING CARE IN A POST-PANDEMIC WORLD

ACRONYM: **RRRCare**

LEAD PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

Nadya Araujo Guimarães

E-mail address: nadya@usp.br

Institutional affiliation: Centro Brasileiro de Análise e Planejamento (CEBRAP – Brazilian Center for Analysis and Planning) and University of São Paulo – Department of Sociology - São Paulo, SP, Brazil

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS:

Angelo Soares

Email address: soares.angelo@uqam.ca

Institutional Affiliation: Université du Québec à Montréal – École des Sciences de la Gestion - Département d'organisation et ressources humaines - Montréal, Canada

Aurélie Damamme

E-mail address: aurelie.damamme@univ-paris8.fr

Institutional affiliation: Université Paris 8, Département de sociologie et d'anthropologie, CRESPPA-GTM - Paris, France

Heidi Gottfried

E-mail address: Heidi.Gottfried@wayne.edu

Institutional affiliation: Wayne State University – Department of Sociology - Detroit, Mi, USA

Javier Armando Pineda Duque

E-mail address: jpineda@uniandes.edu.co

Institutional affiliation: Universidad de los Andes - Interdisciplinary Center of Development Studies CIDER - Bogotá, Colombia

Louisa Acciari

E-mail address: l.acciari@ucl.ac.uk

Institutional affiliation: University College London (UCL) - IRDR Centre for Gender and Disaster - London, United Kingdom