Guidelines
for the Review of Scientific Networks

Disclaimer: The English translation of this document is provided for informational purposes. In the event of a discrepancy between the English and the German versions, the German text takes precedence.
I Programme Information

Scientific networks offer researchers at all career stages the opportunity to engage in multi-year scientific exchange and cooperation on a topic area of their choice across locations for the purpose of attaining a specific outcome (cf. Guidelines – Scientific Networks with Proposal Preparation Instructions, DFG form 1.03)

www.dfg.de/formulare/1_03

Please note:

General Guidelines for Reviews (DFG form 10.20) are available at:

www.dfg.de/formulare/10_20

The review should not exceed two pages in length.
II  Structure of the Review

1. How would you assess the quality of the network, especially with regard to originality and the anticipated contribution to knowledge?

2. Are the network objectives and proposed outcome convincing? Are the research topics to be addressed during the working meetings well reasoned?

3. Does the proposal adequately address the programme objectives (which are to promote networking between researchers in early career phases and to focus on interdisciplinary or strategic research questions and/or international objectives)?

4. How would you assess the composition of the group? How do you rate the preliminary work and quality of publications (cf. Guidelines for Publication Lists)? How well qualified are the network members?

5. Please provide a clear recommendation as to whether funding should be approved and comment on the appropriateness of the requested funds.