

## BEETHOVEN 2 – Polish-German Funding Initiative

### Call for proposals 2016

#### EVALUATION SHEET FOR REVIEWERS

##### **I APPLICANTS (40%)**

(Principal Investigators, Co-Investigators and Cooperation Partners, where applicable)

How qualified is the research team? Please assess the team members' expertise to conduct the proposed research project, their research portfolio, their preliminary work and previous international cooperation.

##### **Answer**

- 4 – outstanding
- 3 – very good
- 2 – good
- 1 – moderate
- 0 – poor

**Justification** (1000 characters min.) – please review all applicants

##### **II RESEARCH PROJECT (50%)**

How do you judge the academic merits of the research project?

Please assess the innovative nature of the project, the impact of the research project on advances in the academic discipline and international cooperation, the scientific level of the objectives and work programme, the integrated approach of the project. Please evaluate all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description Template: II.5 State of the art and preliminary work, II.6. Objectives, II.7. Work Programme including the proposed research methods and added value of international cooperation.

##### **Answer**

- 4 – outstanding
- 3 – very good
- 2 – good
- 1 – moderate
- 0 – poor
- 00 – no basic research<sup>1</sup>

**Justification** (1000 characters min.) – please comment on all the relevant sections of the Joint Project Description listed above.

---

<sup>1</sup> Basic research is original experimental or theoretical research work undertaken primarily to acquire new knowledge of the underlying foundations of phenomena and observable facts, without any direct commercial use.

### III FEASIBILITY AND FINANCE (10%)

How do you judge the feasibility of the project? Please assess whether the project is **fully feasible** (i.e. it is ensured by the research facilities, infrastructure, presented model of project management) or whether the project is **partially feasible** (i.e. it presents some key weaknesses in the development/availability of the research facilities, infrastructure and proposed model of project management) or the project is **not feasible**.

#### Assessment of the feasibility

- 2 – full feasibility of the project
- 1 – partial feasibility of the project
- 0 – the project is not feasible

#### Assessment of costs

- 2 – the cost estimate is justified
- 1 – the cost estimate is partly justified
- 0 – the cost estimate is not justified

**Justification** (300 characters min.) – please comment on the feasibility and the appropriateness of the requested funds.

### IV SUMMARY OF THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE PROPOSAL AND FINAL RECOMMENDATION

**Summary** (500 characters min.)