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Source: 9167, 2503, 2873 submitted proposals
## ERC StG 2010: Submitted and selected proposals by domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Submitted proposals</th>
<th>Selected proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life Sciences</td>
<td>1029 (35.8%)</td>
<td>153 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Sciences &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>1205 (42%)</td>
<td>195 (45.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences &amp; Humanities</td>
<td>639 (22.2%)</td>
<td>79 (18.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**
- Submitted: 2873
- Selected: 427

Success rate ~15%
Success rates in ERC calls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>StG 2007</th>
<th>StG 2009</th>
<th>AdG 2008</th>
<th>AdG 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Selected</td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9167</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>2503</td>
<td>219*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>3399</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>4406</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ~ 800 panel members
- ~ 2000 reviewers
- 1059 selected PI’s
- ~ 1000 signed projects
ERC StG 2010: Age of Grantees

ERC STG 2010 Age of Grantees
Average: Men: 35.34 yrs; Women: 35.28 yrs

Age at Call Closure

% F grantees
% M grantees
ERC StG 2010: Grantees by country of host institution & domain

Source: 427 proposals
StG2009 vs StG2010: Success rate by country of HI
StG2009 vs StG2010: Success rate by nationality

ERC STG 2009-10 %Funded proposals by PI Nationality
preliminary analysis

% of Grantees 2009
% of Grantees 2010
ERC StG 2010: Grantees’ mobility

Source: 427 proposals
ERC StG 2010: Success rate by panel

Source: 440 proposals

StG 2010 % Success rate (average 15.32%)

success rate

Allocated panel
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ERC StG 2010: Female participation

StG 2010 Domain
% Female Applicants vs % Female Grantees

- LS subtotal: 32.75% (Female Applicants), 23.42% (Female Grantees)
- PE subtotal: 19.75% (Female Applicants), 19.75% (Female Grantees)
- SH subtotal: 43.04% (Female Applicants), 38.27% (Female Grantees)
- ERC STG Total: 29.59% (Female Applicants), 25.91% (Female Grantees)
StG 2010 – Average size of requested and awarded amount of funded proposals by domain

ERC STG 2010 Average Grant

- **LS**: 
  - Average amount requested: [Value]
  - Average amount awarded: [Value]

- **PE**: 
  - Average amount requested: [Value]
  - Average amount awarded: [Value]

- **SH**: 
  - Average amount requested: [Value]
  - Average amount awarded: [Value]
ERC StG 2010 novelty: Starters & Consolidators streams

- First time implemented in StG 2010
- Allowed to run “two calls in parallel” with a flexible (non-bureaucratic) separation between them.
- Success rate needed to be kept “comparable” between the two streams
- Funding by stream within a panel according to demand (like funding distribution between panels)
- Unlike “eligibility”, ultimate decision on streaming was with the panels
- Significant increase in workload on the “office”
StG2009 vs StG2010: Proposal distribution of by years after PhD

StG2010 vs StG2009 Submitted proposals distribution by PhD Year from Call date

- StG2009 Submitted proposals
- StG2010 Submitted Proposals
StG2009 vs StG2010: Success rate by years after PhD

StG2010 vs StG2009 Success rate distribution by PhD Date
Main List + Associated States (tentative data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years after PhD</th>
<th>StG2010</th>
<th>StG2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,5%</td>
<td>0,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16,5%</td>
<td>6,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12,5%</td>
<td>8,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17,0%</td>
<td>10,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17,7%</td>
<td>10,8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14,8%</td>
<td>11,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16,6%</td>
<td>12,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17,0%</td>
<td>0,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10+</td>
<td>15,6%</td>
<td>0,0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ERC StG 2010: Redress rates per panel

 ERC STG 2010 Redress
 % of Redress cases by panel, eligibility and evaluation

Allocated Panel

- % Redress on eligibility
- % Redress ESR step 1
- % Redress ESR step 2
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